

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,337	SOWDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Davis	1722	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-compliant amendment

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) _____

(2) David R. Crichton.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 December 2006

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

None.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Crichton to verify the status of the application. Mr. Crichton stated that a supplemental response was filed on June 12, 2006, which pre-dated the non-compliant amendment notice of 6/13/06. The examiner stated that a new non-compliant amendment notice would be mailed in response to the amendment of 6/12/06..